

"Local Body Elections"

Hi fellow NAG members and supporters,

It's now a couple of months since our AGM and our longer-term efforts to unify Rodney communities to push for a better deal from AC have been overtaken recently by the divisiveness and exhortations of candidates that characterize our local body elections.

The good news is firstly that dissatisfaction with the current system and its incumbents has encouraged more candidates to come forward to express an interest in contributing.

Also, the meetings, discussions and media reporting in the election run-up is giving needed attention to some of the issues that plague our district.

These are principally 1) the appalling state of the roads and their neglect; and 2) the failure (of an urban centric AC that prioritises ideological and iconic city policies and projects over basic essential local government services) to recognise and respond fairly to Rodney's different position and needs in AC.

We have said many times that Rodney's 40% of AC's land area and 4% of its population (half rural) has been and is (unfairly) treated as AC's:

- Cash cow,
- Playground: and
- Potential rubbish dump.

This is the result of structural unfairness in the way the amalgamation was constructed and (to be fair to them) our politicians and officials are just following the incentives this structure offers them.

For this election NAG has helped organize meetings to let people hear what the main Mayoral candidates have to say to Rodney ratepayers and to give our local independent candidates an opportunity to do the same.

To explain our stance on roading and why we support having only independents for local council positions I recently posted this piece on our website and repeat it here for those of you who have not read it.

T: 09 425 6121 W: <u>www.nag.org.nz</u>



Roads and Independent representatives

With the run up to the local body elections there have been a lot of comments on social media as people and their supporters run for office. For Rodney the main topics currently seem to be the state of our roads and the way the local board is, or should be, run.

I don't normally comment on social media or respond there to criticisms, as it's not really a constructive forum for reasoned discussion, but mainly just used by people for venting, stating uninformed opinions, or slanging off at others. So, this is a longer piece for people who want to think about the underlying concerns.

Rodney's lack of road maintenance and sealing is not anyone's "fault". It's the result of a long combination of national and local funding, policy and political choices and arrangements that have prioritised spending away from road maintenance and road sealing and in favour of more urban or politically "iconic" spending preferences. That all stems from how AC was formed and structured under relevant legislation and has been exaggerated by political interests seeking greater centralization and control and their preferred particular outcomes.

NAG has written extensively on this and argued for <u>better and more democratic governance</u> that would promote Community Empowerment, <u>localism</u>, <u>devolution</u>, <u>accountability</u> and responsibility (to locals), fairer representation [e.g. <u>Recall elections</u> and longer terms, <u>subdivision changes</u> to fairly recognize rural interests] and opposing the <u>three waters</u> <u>proposals</u>.

Road maintenance and sealing has never been about the money. We <u>have shown</u> that AC sits on more than enough unspent Regional Fuel Tax money every year to fund Rodney's road maintenance, improvement and road sealing programme.

As with national government spending, politicians don't allocate funds to their most profitable uses, but to "hot" political issues (often social) that motivate voters. Investment is not judged on returns, but on "polls", "likes" and "followers".

So rather than justifying investments by identifying the future cash flows that will be used to pay for them, politicians prioritise operational and investment spending on what revenues they have, how much they can borrow, perceived voter need, and who they have to keep happy. Proper water and roading infrastructure is taken for granted and not "sexy" so it misses out on spending prioritization. The result is a never-ending demand for more revenue to maintain or replace assets they could not afford and should not have afforded, frequent neglect of basic assets and facilities that were needed, inability to meet promises, and a resulting disenchantment of voters who finally replace the government - and repeat the process.

T: 09 425 6121 W: <u>www.nag.org.nz</u>



We know that the alternative more distributed (local) decision making will not necessarily be more efficient, less wasteful, or achieve any great central purpose, but it **will** give people what they want and that they chose – one of the key elements of democracy - as opposed to a system of dictatorship by the majority.

Adam Smith's "invisible hand" was not a market concept, but a social one that advocated for better overall social outcomes from a system where lots of people act in their own interests (respecting others of course) than from one where a few "wise" people act centrally to coerce others ("we know best what's good for you").

Some centralised decision-making benefits everyone (e.g. national defense, law and order, social safety nets) so in practice we strive for a balance between these extremes which is workable and recognizes the value of the commons for everyone.

In NZ there is much written to show we are relatively overcentralized (too much government (national and local) spending is done by central government). In Auckland amalgamation has produced control by a majority who are urban focused representatives.

AC is underfunded in relation to service obligations and expectations and the core council urban majority (quite reasonably) are looking after what they think will get them publicity and votes and less concerned about rural people, communities and their needs. [Experience has confirmed Rodney ratepayer's valid concerns about the effects of amalgamating 40% of AC's area and 4% of its people into an urban-centric council]

As usual, some protection is needed for minorities in a majority rules system. We (NAG) see it as important to keep arguing for system and procedure changes that will deliver that.

So, we don't think that criticizing AC for its lack of spending on roads in Rodney should be a reason we have missed out on road funding, or that we need to agree with the Mayor and GB's voting block of urban councillors' proposals for AC's continual rate rises in order to get more money for Rodney. That kind of "scratch my back" venal thinking just leads to acceptance of bribery and patronage as the norm.

Nor do we need local board members to support AC's policies that don't represent the views of Rodney's voters because they think that is needed to win support for more resources for Rodney. The rural areas of Franklin and Rodney need to be treated fairly, not as afterthoughts, or quid pro quos for showing support. Cycleways in Auckland Central, the city rail link, light rail to the airport and tactical urbanism do nothing for Rodney.

The local board has worked hard to deliver within its limited remit to allocate spending on local services and assets. But it's political and representation mistake has been to follow its own block members agenda and not to recognize and support voters calls for better roading, and rates more focused on Rodney's needs (rather than AC's).

T: 09 425 6121 W: www.nag.org.nz



Seeing buses running around Warkworth empty, and a "temporary" ParknRide, just shouts "waste!" to local ratepayers. They would have been happy with a restoration of some of the Transport Targeted Rate to road sealing and some compromise needed to be shown. Any resultant delay to the bus and footpath projects the Board wanted would not have materially affected the community (especially when people were going nowhere during Covid lockdowns.) Taxis or vouchers would have been better ways to spend to address any disadvantaged voters transport needs. Roads are the arteries of the region. We need them smooth and functioning well to avoid a regional heart attack.

Ideological and block voting with secrecy (closed workshops and pre-deciding outcomes) are antithetical to an open, representative, and community inclusive RLB. Independent candidates who support open discussions and decision making are needed to restore confidence. That is why NAG opposes block or party affiliation and supports independents.

Make sure you vote in the local body elections!

Yours sincerely

Bill Foster Chairman Northern Action Group Inc.

T: 09 425 6121 W: <u>www.nag.org.nz</u>